

Oxford Democrat.

No. 13, Vol. 4, New Series.

Paris, Maine, Tuesday, August 6, 1844.

Old Series, No. 23, Vol. 13.

OXFORD DEMOCRAT,

PUBLISHED EVERY TUESDAY, BY

G. W. ELLIS,

EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR.

TERMS.—One Dollar and Fifty Cents in advance. ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on reasonable terms; the Proprietor not being accountable for any error beyond the amount charged for the advertisement. A reasonable deduction will be made for cash in advance.

Book and Job Printing EXECUTED WITH NEATNESS AND DESPATCH.

POLITICAL.

From the Democratic Review for July.

The Re-Annexation of Texas: IN ITS INFLUENCE ON THE DURATION OF SLAVERY.

One of the arguments in favor of re-annexing Texas, which was well represented by Mr. Walker in his letter, seems to have been overlooked in the recent discussions of the question. Even Mr. Calhoun seems to suppose that the peculiar institutions of the South, as it is the fashion to call slavery, are to be rendered more durable by annexation; and the abolitionists, as well as some of the more rational opponents of those institutions, object to the annexation of Texas on the same ground. Both are wrong, & a little attention to facts will prove the error. So far from perpetuating slavery in the United States, the annexation of Texas, or of the slaveholding portion of it at least, gives the only well grounded hope, according to present appearances, of an ultimate extinction. This may appear to be a paradox; but it is sober truth, and fully susceptible of demonstration. Let us reason coolly and candidly about this matter, without regard to the opposite fanaticisms which rage on both sides of it. Every one who has either read or thought on the subject is aware that the value of a slave's labor is never equal to that of a freeman, and that the expense of his support is greater. He has less inducement to work hard for the gains nothing by it; he has no inducement to be thrifty, for it saves him nothing. He gets his food and his clothing, whatever may be the crop or the expenses of making it; and in any event he gets nothing else. He is therefore an unthrifty, as all are who live from hand to mouth; and he only differs from other unthrifths in this, that he has no inducements to reform. He is unskillful, too; he learns nothing; he has no occasion to think. Whether he plough deep or shallow, it is all one to him. Besides, where slaves are, white men will not work. Labor is degraded there, and the white freeman is glad to excuse his natural laziness by refusing to bear what he calls the badge of servitude.

The consequence of this is, that a Yankee farmer with his sons will live and grow richer on the corner of a farm, from which a Virginian planter with his slaves has just been driven bankrupt. The Yankee works himself, his son works at his side, his wife and daughters are at work in the dairy or the kitchen. They all save, for it is their own. They study to increase the products of the farm and improve the farm itself, for it is their wealth or to be their inheritance.

Go down into old Fairfax in Virginia, just beyond the Potomac, the neighborhood of General Washington, formerly the garden of the South. Ten years ago it was almost a wilderness; like Acton devoured by his own dogs, their plantations had been eaten up by their slaves. First came mortgages on the proud old homestead; then mortgages on the slaves to raise money to feed them; at last the sheriff; and the old fashioned Virginia gentleman who used to import his pipe of wine a year and drive his blood horses to the Springs, has become a jumpy drinker at the stage house, or has struck out into the world to seek his fortune. But the land was too good to be lost. The Yankee had bought it. He had put up the fences, and driven his plough to the deeper soil, and turned in the clover; and old Fairfax is beginning to smile like a colony of New England.

We have moved one step forward in our argument; for we are agreed now that a freeman can support himself by agricultural labor where a slave cannot. But there is another thing to be considered, and that is skill. Now and then, you will find in the South a smart negro, who has learned a trade. Now and then, not often, for trades are not to be learned without attention, and a few will give much attention to that which is not to repay them for their trouble. A skillful slave is worth more to his master; but he is worth no more to himself. If he even makes himself a master workman, he gets no wages; and if he is the veriest botch, he still gets his two suits of clothes, his corn-meal and his bacon. The slave mechanics are therefore few and not expert. The great mass of the slaves in all countries has always been, and always must be, employed in mere labor, the commonest labor of the plantation. Taken as a body, they cannot support themselves by any other agricultural occupations.

Now, agricultural employments require more ground than any other. A shoemaker can make his living on six feet square, a place just big enough for his bed and his bench. A thousand men can work in a single manufactory. People who live by their wits, thank Heaven, are not expected to be great land-holders.

Freemen can be stowed closer and make a living, than slaves can. The traders, manufacturers, mechanics, professional men, may be crowded into towns, so that a hundred thousand shall live comfortably on a square mile of land. The slave must be a farmer, or rather a farmer's laborer, and a lazy, unskillful and wasteful laborer to boot, who makes small crops, and requires

therefore much land to raise enough to clothe and feed him.

Remembering this, as a second fact about which we are not to have any further argument, let us go on to another topic.

In new countries, where land is cheap, agriculture is careless. That is to say, the farmer picks out the best soil and neglects the worse, and works over the best somewhat roughly. When an acre of new land can be bought for a dollar & a quarter, people are not apt to pay much for an old one. In a new country, therefore, population is apt to be scattered, only the best soils cultivated, and those cropped hard, so as to make the most out of them for the time. When they are exhausted, the settler moves on to another tract.

But as the country grows older, land becomes more costly. People learn the art of living on smaller farms. The farmer tries to improve what he has got, and to make it yield all that the best culture can bring out. Population thickens, and at last the country becomes so full, and land so dear, that it is difficult to obtain a living by mere labor. Then it is that emigration begins, and the surplus population, incapable of finding a support at home, moves away to regions where land is cheaper.

Now, if ever such a time shall come to the slave states, is it very certain that the slaves, instead of being valuable property to their masters, will become an incumbrance. Whenever a man earns more than he earns, he must be dependent for support on the earnings of others; and in the case of the slave, the dependence must be on the master, for no one else is bound to support him. Let this state of things come about, and there will be no objection to abolition, at least on the part of the masters.

And this state of things must and will come, as surely as they continue to eat and drink. The only question is—When? When will the land of the slave states be fully covered with population as to crowd out the slave? Let us see if we can answer this question.

There are parts of Europe which we know to be fully stocked with population, where mere labor scarcely earns a living, but relies in part on the poor-rates, and where government is willing to pay and does pay passage money for emigrants to America, in order to relieve itself of the burden of supporting them at home. In the more fertile part of the continent of Europe, the population at the present time averages 110 persons for every square mile, or one for every six acres; in the northern regions it is much less. Not that every square mile can do this. One may be a swamp, another a coal field, hundreds of others covered with the waters of rivers, lakes, and inland seas; but on the whole, taking the good with the bad, the productive with the impracticable, the city pavement and the turnpike road, and the woodland with the arable farm, in the long average, six acres of land in the west of Europe, are barely sufficient to raise food for a human being. Beyond this with the strictest economy, and highest skill, and most unflinching industry, European lands have not gone. Indeed, long before the population reaches this point, voluntary emigration begins with those who have the means; and when the point is fully reached, the guardians of the poor are busy freighting ships to carry off their paupers.

But all these Europeans do not live by agriculture. One half at least, so the books say, live in the cities and towns, in London with its millions, in Paris, Vienna, &c., where tradesmen can flourish without occupying their shares of the land. Not so our American slaves; they, as we have seen, must live in the country, and use up their full proportion of the soil. And hence as we know, there are no large towns in the slave states, except such as are supported by commerce with abroad. More than fifteen sixteenths of all the inhabitants of those states, as shown by the last census live on farms.

Remember now that the slave, whatever his employment, produces less and wastes more than the freeman, and add to it the fact that from the nature of his only occupation, he requires more land, and it will be easy to see that if six acres, on the average, are required to support a freeman, who works for himself, a good deal more will be necessary to make food for a human being under the ignorant, lazy, and thriftless culture of a slave.

It has been said, and with apparent truth, that from thirty five to forty on a square mile, is about as large a population as slave labor can support. The villins of England begin to be freed when the population attained this rate, many hundred years ago. Delaware, where slavery is nearly worn out, the entire number of its slaves being but 2,600, has an average of little over thirty five;—and those parts of Maryland and Virginia which have approached this average, find slave labor unproductive, and scarcely more than adequate to its own support.

Here, then we have the two extremes. Slavery begins to be bad business for the master when the country becomes so thickly settled that on the average 40 people are living on a square mile of land; and when the average reaches 110, mere labor, whether bond or free, is unable to support itself, but is forced to rely, in whole or in part, on the skill and charity of others.

When will these numbers be reached in our southern states? It is a mere question of arithmetic, and an easy one. We know what the population of the slave states in 1700, 1800, 1810, 1820, 1830 and 1840, and can easily calculate the rate of its increase. This rate has varied somewhat; sometimes rising as high as 33 per cent. for a period of ten years; sometimes sinking to about 25 1-2, when causes happened to encourage emigration more than usual. The average has been about 30 per cent.—that is to say, at

the end of every ten years 130 inhabitants have been found where 100 used to be. Thus in 1700 the slave population was about two millions; in 1800 about two millions six hundred thousand; in 1810 something less than three millions and a half; in 1820 four millions and a half; in 1830 five millions and three quarters; and in 1840, a little more than seven millions and a third. If the population shall continue to increase in the southern states, as it has done thus far, it will have reached twenty five millions by the year 1887, and about the year 1926 will not be less than seventy millions. So far it is plain enough. There is no mistake in the calculation, nor in the facts on which it rests. Examine them as we may, the result is as we have just stated it:—in 1887, twenty five millions; in 1926, seventy millions. Now mark the conclusion.

The entire area of the present slave states and slave territories, counting in Delaware, the District of Columbia, and the Floridas, is little less than 630,000 square miles. A population of twenty five millions on this area, such as will be on it in 1887, gives 40 persons to the square mile; a population of seventy millions, such as it will have in 1926, an average to the square mile of 110.

It is a startling fact, but we cannot escape from it. In 1887, 43 years from the time at which we are writing, the average value of a slave's labor throughout the south will be little more than adequate to his support; in 1926, less than 32 cents; it will be impossible for slavery to subsist except as a burden on the master. The politician who fancifully imagines that a freeman is more apt to be fond of liberty if cradled in a land of slaves, may mourn over so early a downfall of his cherished institution; and the abolitionist may perhaps doubt, whether it is worth while to work so hard as he is doing, in the vain imagination of hastening what must necessarily come so soon. But there is the fact for them to ponder on; those are now living who will see the last of negro slavery in the United States.

But when this time shall have come, what is to be the lot of the negroes? In 1887, when their value has become unquestionable, they will number eight and a half millions; by the year 1926, the period before which the emancipation must take place they will equal twenty four millions of souls. What is to be done in the next forty three years with these eight millions and a half of unproductive laborers; what in the next eighty two, with these 24 millions of paupers.

Here arithmetic fails. Shall they be emancipated on the soil that bred them? They will cover it with pauperism, with rapine and desolation. Their masters, impoverished by the depreciation and ultimate destruction of their property, with plantations encumbered and incapable of profitable culture,—how are they to support in the poor house or by parochial relief those who before constituted their wealth?

Shall they be retained in bondage? The slaves of bankrupt masters, valueless themselves! It is only to live in different form an insupportable tax for pauperism on those who themselves are paupers.

Shall they be invited to migrate to the north and west? Ohio has already closed her doors against them by laws making it penal to introduce a free negro without indemnifying the state against the risk of his becoming a pauper. Pennsylvania has amended her Constitution so as to preclude the possibility of any but a white man becoming a citizen. In the eastern and more northern states, and in Canada, where this philanthropy is most rife, the negro cannot live; the climate destroys him. In Massachusetts, though the negro and white man are equally freemen and citizens there, of three quarters of a million of inhabitants but eight thousand are negroes.

What, then, is to be the fate of the negro of our southern states? Remain as he is, he cannot. His condition must change—but what is the change to be? Where is he to go—what to be his condition? Three fourths of a century, and the question will have been decided, for good or for evil. Nor can we blind ourselves to its consequences, either to our southern brethren or to the Union,—to the white man or to the negro.

But is there no escape from the evils that impend upon the emancipation of the southern slaves? Is this great moral good to be purchased only by horrors at which humanity sickens? Let him that believes in the watchfulness of Providence, or its wisdom, or its power; tremble in view of the calamities that are before us: a community ruined and hopeless,—a servile war, with its bloody heathstones and desecrated altars,—a desolated empire. But to those who have faithfully marked the depredations of the Most High, no crisis present or prospective, can bring despair.

The Republic of Texas, that now sneers at admission into the American Union, skirts on the one side the southern line of the United States, and on the other stretches along the expanded frontier of Mexico. The climate of this last named country is the most favorable of the whole globe for the development of the negro race; more uniformly mild than the north or west more salubrious than Africa, and with a soil spontaneously productive. There in Central America, and in the vast regions still further south, the negro is already a freeman—socially as well as politically, the equal of the white. Nine tenths of the population there is made up of the colored races, the Generals, the Congressmen, the Presidents, are men of mixed blood.

Let the emancipated negro find himself on the borders of Mexico and the states beyond, and his fate is no longer doubtful or gloomy. He is near the land of his fellows, where equal rights and equal hopes await him and his offspring. Nor does it require the mysterious foresight of a prophet to mark out the very steps, by which

he is to arrive there. The negro is less valuable as a laborer in the north than in the south; he exults in the sunshine of the tropics and shrinks before the bracing winters of a more temperate latitude. The slave, too, is less valuable as he approaches the confines of a free state. Exaggerating the happiness of that indolence which he regards as the characteristic of liberty, and aware of the possibility of escape into a country where slavery does not exist, he becomes discontented, reluctant, insubordinate. Besides he is there in almost immediate competition with the free laborers; they have the same employments and the products of their labor are of the same sort, while the slave is the more wasteful and less productive laborer of the two.

As a natural consequence, negro slavery recedes willingly from the more northern climate, especially if it escapes by so doing from the perilous rivalry of free labor. You have only to present to the planter an equally fertile region to the south of him; you have only to show him that it offers less facilities for the escape of his slaves; and whether it be regarded for their increased health and consequent longevity, anxiety to retain his property in security, or the mere desire to make their labor profitable as possible you may be sure of his willingness to remove there. Thus we have seen the acquisition of Louisiana in 1800; and Florida in 1819, make an obvious check on the increase of slaves in the old states, by the inducements which they offered to their emigration further south. Nearly 1,000,000 slaves, as shown by the census, have already migrated into states beyond the limits of the original thirteen, leaving less than 1,400,000 behind them. By this natural transfer it is that Delaware has become to all practical purposes a free state; Maryland nearly so, and Virginia to a great extent;—and the same cause is operating largely in other states.

If Texas is annexed to the United States, with its more fertile soil and warmer sky, is it not certain that the parts of it, near the seaboard which are peculiarly adapted by nature to productions in which the negro's labor is most profitable, will be filled by emigration from the slave states? Can we not see, that in Maryland and Virginia and Kentucky and the other states in which slavery is already on the decline, the opening of Texas must necessarily hasten its departure; and that thus, silently, without complaint, without effort, this dark visitation of anxiety and evil, once the just stigma of British cupidity, and now her unjust and insolent taunt, may at last depart from our shores? Whether by any possibility the abolition of slavery is ever to be effected by other means—in advance of the arrival of the period when it must yield to the silent operation of the pressure of population in this as in all other countries where it has existed—is a problem which we do not here feel called upon to discuss. That any compulsory power from without can ever accomplish that end, such as the

abolitionists of the day are striving to apply, it appears the absurdest folly to suppose. It has hitherto had no other effect than to exasperate the master, and make heavier and tighter the chain of the slave; nor does there appear much likelihood of its exerting any other influences in its future continuance. If, as has been ardently hoped and fondly believed by many of the true friends of the negro, the result of the British experiments of emancipation in the West India Islands shall be such as to prove its safety and wisdom, so as to open the eyes of the master himself to the truth urged upon him by his northern neighbor, the grounds of the question will be in no respect changed by the existence of Texas in the Union. The master in Texas will be open to the same means of conviction as he would be if still on his old plantation in Virginia. If, on the other hand, that experiment shall not prove successful, so as to disprove the asserted possibility of the co-existence of the two races and two colors, side by side, on the same soil, in a relation of freedom and equality of rights, how can any of the friends of either desire to keep them forcibly pent up within the limits where every day is tending faster and faster to ferment the discordant elements into a result which threatens to be the desolation of both—instead of opening this safety valve by which the noxious danger may pass off harmlessly and insensibly?

Crowd them, your population into the southern states as you may, rapidly and without fear. Texas will open before it as an outlet, and slavery, retiring from the middle and southern states of the present confederacy, will find for a time a resting place there. But only for a time, for the irreversible law of population, which decrees that in a densely peopled region slavery shall cease to exist, will emancipate Texas in her turn, and the Negro will then pass to a land of political freedom and social dignity under a genial sky. He will pass without evil convulsion, and leaving no domestic ruin in his path. As his labor becomes less and less valuable, Emancipation, gradual, progressive, at last universal, will pass over the southern border to his more appropriate home in Mexico and the states beyond.

But if Texas is to be the outlet for American slavery, why not suffer her to be so, without making her a party to the Union? Will she not be as broad an outlet, and as certain, whether she comes to us, or remains as she is an independent friendly neighbor?

It might be so, indeed, if we could only be certain that Texas, pressed by necessities, and spurred from our confederacy, would always continue to be independent and friendly. But what security have we that forty years hence she will be either? She is indeed, a young state, with American sympathies, anxious now to become a member of that American brotherhood from which most of her citizens have been emigrants, and she has proffered herself to us without re-

serve or condition. But she is not without other wooers. Great Britain has never yet lost a chance of getting a foothold on the outskirts of her neighbors' territory. She has Gibraltar in Spain, the Cape of Good Hope in Africa; and Hong Kong in China. Once, Calcutta might have been added to this list but that is now the capital of her empire possessions of the east.—With the West Indian Islands as sentinels upon our coast, she keeps Canada to the north of us; and claiming Oregon on the west, is actually negotiating with Texas on the south.

She is meditating, as she terms it, between Mexico and Texas. And with what object? Not to obtain exclusive privileges says Lord Aberdeen; not to advance any peculiar interests of England or with reference to any peculiar influence.—Truly, she negotiates for none of these. But the slave mistress of India, the overseer and taskmaster of Ireland; she who but yesterday played the bully of the opium smugglers, and fought the Chinese into acceptance of the decrees of intoxication; has become a saint in these latter days—a very Pecksniff—and now

"Compounds for sins that she's inclined to

By damning those she has no mind to."

"She desires," says Lord Aberdeen, "to see slavery abolished throughout the world, and will not desist from her honest efforts for procuring the result. She wishes even to see the southern states of this Union placed on the firm and solid footing which general freedom alone can attain for them;" though she graciously promises that she "will make no difference in her treatment of the slaveholding and the free," a promise the more gracious as well as the more easily kept, since war against one set of states would be war against all, and she can never have peaceful intercourse with either slaveholding or free, except by the instrumentality of the joint and equally constituted government of both! "She has put herself forward," she admits in pressing Mexico to acknowledge the independence of Texas; "and avowing her 'wishes to see slavery abolished in Texas as well as elsewhere; she would rejoice," she says, "that her recognition by Mexico should be accompanied by an engagement on the part of Texas for its entire abolition." Such are the words of British ministers of state. And he must be a stranger to the language of diplomacy, and forgetful of the long history of British intermeddling in the affairs of others; who does not see in this, that if American slavery is to find an outlet for departure through Texas it must be by the relief of Texas from British influence, and its annexation to the American Union.

To abandon Texas now is to invite for her British protection and British policy. It is to close round us the circle of British power, leaving the emancipation of the slave within our borders, the reintegration of his manhood, and introduction to political rights; a problem to be solved by the caprice of an alien and not improbably hostile influence.

From the Free-Will Baptist Repository.

INDIGNATION OF THE PRESS.

Of all the shameful tragedies that have disgraced the nineteenth century, the imprisonment of Dorr is the most revolting! We well know the soulless genius that rules with hands of iron, and teeth of steel, that British colony; but we had thought, that a dread of public indignation would have overruled the vindictive pride and cowardice of those in "brief authority," and saved the fallen patriot from the cruel sentence that has been passed upon him! But the deed is done: Rhode Island is the "by-word" of the world; the reproach of human government! Some have talked of petitioning the British government of that province; for the pardon of Dorr; but this is too humiliating for the sons of the Pilgrims; and we recommend that the whole power of the American press be brought to bear upon the despotism; and hellish spirit of the "child of the bond-woman," till she breaks the yoke of cruelty and lets the oppressed go free. The whole land is convulsed with indignation at the judicial mockery, and deputized injustice, devolved in this second edition of O'Connell imprisonment.

"Every One to his Taste."—Many of our gourmands would sicken at the idea of a dish of snails, which in Hungary, more especially, is esteemed a delicate, and is a very frequent dish; so much so; that not a few of the peasantry even pay their rents with them according to Pagent's travels in Hungary and Transylvania; but a Hungarian lady would be as much horrified at the idea of swallowing an oyster, and would at least have this advantage over our epicures; that snails are vegetable feeders.

THIRSTING AND HOBING.—Scene, a cornfield: men with hoes; time, eleven o'clock, A. M. Enter Squire, the owner of the field.

One of the men speaks.—"Squire, it's eleven o'clock you know, and we are all thirsty, and the Scripture says—'If any thirst, let him come and drink.'"

Squire—"Aye, but the Scripture also says—'Hoe every one that thirsteth.'"

Friend, do not argue to those above; Friend, do not tread on those below—Love those, who're worthy of thy love; Love these, and thou wilt make them so.

Like Father Like Son.—"What's that?" said a schoolmaster, pointing to the letter X.

"Daddy's name."

"No it isn't your daddy's name, you black-head it's X."

"I'll be darned if it is. It's daddy's name, blow it if it ain't. I've seen him write it often!"

"Go to your seat, you booby."

THOMAS W. DORR.

The National Reform Association, having its headquarters at New York City, have appointed F. C. Treadwell, Esq., formerly of Portland, as an agent to gather information relative to the situation of Gov. Dorr. The following letter from him we find in the last New York Plebeian:

Providence, July 27, 1844.
Dear Sir: I arrived here this morning. I have a proof of the case of Gov. Dorr in a few days. I have just received a part of it, and will send by Hurd's line as soon as possible. A plea to the jurisdiction of the court on constitutional grounds, was made and rejected. Have seen Mr. S. W. Dorr and Mr. Burgess, junior counsel. They are very desirous to have me confer with the senior counsel, Messrs. Atwell and Turner, which I shall do as soon as possible. I have only time to say the case stands well for a writ of error to the Supreme Court of the United States. Several of the friends of Gov. Dorr have called on me to express their joy at the interest taken by the National Reform Association. The treatment of Gov. Dorr in prison is said to be barbarous in the extreme. None of his friends are allowed to see him. I am told that he is afflicted with inflammatory rheumatism, and a sort of jail fever.

In great haste, yours truly,
F. C. TREADWELL.
Mr. John Windt, Secretary of the National Reform Association, New York.

TEXAS—HOW SHALL I VOTE?

The Whigs have been making some stir and giving a few shouts of joy at the supposed defection of the New York Post, and several prominent individuals of the Democratic party in New York. But they have congratulated themselves too soon. In answer to a correspondent, signing himself "Republican," who proposes certain queries in relation to Texas Annexation, the Editor of the Post has the following: It shows plainly that the Democrats of New York, though they may differ in some minor points in relation to this question, will vote for Messrs. Polk and Dallas.

Let us first look at the certainties of the case, and then proceed to consider the matters which are merely contingent.

It is certain that either Mr. Clay or Mr. Polk will be the President of the United States. The election of Mr. Clay will be followed by the triumph of the Whig party. Their principles are, the establishment of a NATIONAL BANK, in its most odious form, as declared in the late Raleigh speech of Mr. Clay himself; the continuance of a high protective tariff made for the interests of manufacturers; and a restriction of the power of Congress in relation to the purchase of land, to foster speculators and corrupt the States; to say nothing of the extravagance and injustice of administration, in other respects, to which Mr. Clay's avowed notions of government must inevitably lead. Not only to vote for Mr. Clay, but not to vote against him, is to sustain these measures, and all the consequences that are likely to flow from their adoption. If there be a Democrat, then, who thinks that such iniquitous measures of less consequence than the evils that may result from the annexation of Texas, he is justified in taking no part in the existing controversy. But if he thinks it of vital importance to the welfare of the country and the cause of good government, to defeat the ungodly and destructive schemes of the Whigs, as every thorough Democrat must think it, then he cannot in conscience shrink from the contest. He must take "immediate annexation," with all its evils, or allow those whom he believes to be the enemies of the people, to attain an uncontested power.

But there is another view of the question. The unconditional annexation of Texas, is a contingent and not a certain event. "Immediate annexation" is a moral impossibility. Texas can only be annexed through the consent and action of the democratic party, and that, not of a fraction, but of the whole party. It is well known that a large portion of the democracy at the North are utterly opposed to annexation, except upon some such conditions as were set forth in the bill of Mr. Benton. Now, if this portion of the party should withdraw their support from Mr. Polk, as our correspondent intimates that he is inclined to do, the effect would be to defeat the party altogether, or to permit the advocates of unconditional annexation to claim the victory as exclusively their own. But if persons who think with our correspondent continue their allegiance to the democratic party, and use all their influence for its success, the Texas question then becomes a question which the Democrats are to settle among themselves, on principles of equity and justice, in the determination of which the whole party will be consulted. It will be seen then, that the difficulty narrows itself to this point, whether it is better to risk the success of the democratic party, or, if it be successful, to allow its triumph to be regarded as the triumph of "immediate annexation," or, by taking an earnest and vigorous part in its struggles, to ensure its success, and with that success a rightful decision, or at the most a judicious compromise of all the minor questions on which its members differ in opinion? It seems to us that the latter branch of the alternative is greatly to be preferred; and we have no doubt that such is the opinion of the distinguished men referred to by "Republican"—Messrs. Van Buren, Benton, Wright, Young, Edwards, Barker, Sedgwick, and others.—N. Y. Evening Post.

ONLY HEAR HIM! The London Charyari or Punch, the keenest print of the age, contains the following piquant address to the Philadelphians. He puts it to 'em smart, doesn't he? Just read:

PUNCH TO THE PHILADELPHIANS.—PHILADELPHIANS! Asses, blockheads, boobies, clowns, dolts, empty-heads, fanatics, flirts, fellow-brains, gabies, geese, hypocrites, ignoramuses, jobbers, knotty-pates, loggerheads, mooncalves, nonsensicals, oafs, pumps, quacks, rogues, ruffins, sumples, simpletons, tomhoddies, yokels, zanies!—What do you mean, you incomprehensible Yankees, by behaving here in the nineteenth century, and in that boasted glorious, free, and enlightened Republic of yours, like a stupid, savage, bigoted populace in the dark ages, or rather like a horde of barbarians and cannibals, shooting one another through the head, and burning down houses and churches; committing, in short, arson and murder, by the wholesale, right and left? Why, the wild Indians, nay, the very niggers, are angels to you! And what is it that has prompted you to this recrudescence of atrocities? Religion, forsooth—Religion! The Turks would cry out upon you, Catholics and Protestants both. Call it by its right name—sanguinary, intolerant bigotry. These ruffianly proceedings, which have disgraced you before the universal world, will go down to posterity with the massacre of St. Bartholomew and the Sicilian Vespers.

And you in particular, you addle-brained Irishmen, at a time when the welfare of your native land especially requires that you should keep quiet and orderly, and show that you can live peaceable citizens under a free government—how dare you behave in this outrageous manner!—You, I say, especially, who pretend to believe in a faith which expressly denounces revenge, and prescribes as a duty, patience under insult and

I will tell you what—were it not that such a set as you, all of you, are not worth the expense, I would recommend a subscription for the purpose of sending over to you a supply of strait-jackets, an army of barbers to shave your heads, and a staff of physicians and surgeons, selected from our principal asylums, to keep you, lunatics, in order. So much for you, from Punch.

GOV. POLK'S ANCESTORS—REFUTATION OF A VILE SLANDER.

Every good man, without distinction of party, has seen with indignation and regret a vile slander circulated through the Whig papers—the Richmond (Va.) Whig, Louisville (Ky.) Journal, Mobile (Ala.) Whig paper, and other kindred prints—charging that Gov. Polk's grandfather, the late Col. Ezekiel Polk of North Carolina, was a Tory in the Revolution. A base libel on a deceased patriot never issued from a licentious press. However the fact might have been, no charitable or honorable man would believe for a moment that the moral or political standing of Gov. Polk ought to be affected by it. But the charge, in every possible sense, is a vile fabrication. The writer of this paragraph has seen full, clear, conclusive, and demonstrative proof of the high, honorable, and manly course pursued by Col. Ezekiel Polk, as a citizen of North Carolina, before and during the Revolutionary struggle for independence, showing that he was a true Whig of 1776, and gallantly bore arms as such by the side of his kinsmen of the same family name, and his noble Whig fellow-citizens. How unlike the characteristics of the Whigs of 1776 and 1776, and of 1840 and 1844!

It is proper that it should be stated here that at an early day, under the authority of distinguished citizens of North Carolina, Gov. Polk's native State, who have taken the matter in hand as being due to their State and the honorable name of Polk, and in vindication of a deceased patriot of the Revolution, a full and perfect refutation of this slander will be given to the world, in proofs heretofore taken and now being collected—a great portion of them procured from permanent State records, and highly credible living men. The writer of these remarks speaks of these things as perfectly certain, and within his personal knowledge and information, as to the existence of the proofs, and the intended course of Gov. Polk's friends in his native State. That the refutation will put the propagators of the slander to open shame, vindicate the reputation of the dead, and bring new honors to the living, are facts upon which all Gov. Polk's friends may repose with perfect confidence. To say more in this place would be forestalling the praiseworthy labors of the honorable and distinguished citizens who have this matter now in hand elsewhere and whose work, when done, will be ample, perfect, and thorough.

The Nashville Whig papers, with all their rancor towards Gov. Polk, have not dared to republish this libel. They dare not now do it, or even insinuate that it is true.—Nashville Union.

THE FRUITS OF A "HOME MARKET" FOR THE FARMERS.

Of all the fallacies that of the promised advantages to be derived from what is called by the Whigs a "home market" for farmers is the greatest. Let us briefly examine the effects of forcing our farmers to sell at home their surplus produce.

The lowest duty under the compromise act was in 1842. On the 1st of July, 1842, no article of import paid over 20 per cent; and the average duty on all imports did not exceed 10 per cent. And let it be borne in mind that our currency was in a sound and healthy condition; and that the following is a true list of prices at two different periods:

Provisions.	1842.	1844.
Beef, Mess, bell,	\$7 50	\$5 25
prime,	5 50	3 25
Pork, Mess,	7 75	6 50
prime,	6 25	6 12
Hogs Lard, lb.	6	6
Butter, prime,	17	13
ordinary	14	9
Cheese, American	7 1/2	4 1/2
limes, smoked	6 1/2	5

FLOUR.	1842.	1844.
Genesee, 50 lb.	6 1/2	4 50
Ohio, 50 lb.	5 3/4	4 3/4
Richmond, 50 lb.	5 3/4	4 7/8
Alexandria, 50 lb.	5 3/4	4 7/8
Wheat, 50 lb.	8 20	8 00
Corn Meal, 50 lb.	8 3/4	8 00

GRAIN.	1842.	1844.
Wheat, bush,	1 28	66
Rye	60	67
Corn, Northern	60	60
Corn, Southern	65	47

WOOL.	1842.	1844.
American Saxony, fleeces	37	41

If this table of prices is correct—a fact that we believe will not be denied—the question then arises, and an important one it is, what is the cause of this great depreciation of prices of farm produce? Is it the currency? No, that was sound in 1842; and is, there any material difference, somewhat expanded now, compared to 1842—prices would have a tendency to increase present prices above what they were in 1842. If the present low prices cannot be attributed to the currency, what must they be attributed to? We answer to a "home market"—to the fact of our farmers being cut off from the market of the world by a tariff nearly prohibitory. Our farmers have a surplus, and it sold at all, cannot be sold at home.

According to the above table, which we know to be correct, there is an average fall in prices in 1844 from what they were in 1842, of 30 per cent. These are the benefits of the "home market"—this is the "protection" the tariff was to afford the farmers. Our agricultural produce must remain at home; while our calicoes are sent to the Indies, to China, South America, and Mexico, and even to England herself, the workshop of the world! A "home market" for the farmers and the market of the world for the manufacturers! Can any policy be more destructive to the interests of our agricultural population? Restrict the agriculturists of the United States to

a narrow market, and give to the manufacturers a wide market, and we may bid adieu to agricultural prosperity. Once fasten the policy of a home market upon the country, and we must of course cease to produce a surplus; we must consume all we produce.

Can it be that the farmers of the United States will submit to the tyranny and oppression to which the odious tariff of 1842 subjects them? We do not believe it.

PROTECTION OF HOME INDUSTRY—TARIFF LOGIC.

The number of persons engaged in the various pursuits of business in the United States was agreeably to the last census, on the 1st of June, 1840, viz:

In Agriculture,	3,717,755
Commerce,	117,575
Manufactures and trade,	791,545
Navigation,	59,082
Mining,	15,233
Learned professions,	65,237
	4,796,407

The branches for which protection is sought under a high tariff are presumed to be, the manufacture of cottons, woolens, sugar-refining, and the iron trade.

The number of persons engaged in those branches appears, from the census, to be:

In manufactures of wool,	21,342
of cotton,	72,119
Refineries of sugar, choco	1,355
late, &c.	30,497
Mining, &c. iron,	125,313
Leaving	4,671,004

persons heavily taxed for the pretended purpose of protecting the industry of 125,313. We say pretended purpose, for it is well known, that in the protected branches, the operatives—that is, the industrious persons—receive little or no benefit, their wages having received little or no increase on account of the tariff protection. On the contrary, one of the first effects of the tariff was a reduction of wages, and remonstrances thereupon of the workers. The other effect was large dividends to the stockholders—men of unwieldy fortunes. So much for the protection of home industry!

MR. FRELINGHUYSEN.

The Quarterly Review, in a notice of the candidates for the Presidency and Vice Presidency now before the people, says of Mr. Frelinghuysen: Mr. Frelinghuysen is quite a different man; and while agreeing with Mr. Clay in all the obvious measures to which Mr. Clay himself stands pledged, he represents certain other elements of the Whig party, from which still more evils if possible, are to be apprehended. Mr. Frelinghuysen is not only a Whig in the worst sense of the term, but he is also the very incarnation of narrow-minded, ignorant, conceited bigotry—a man who holds sacred religious liberty, demands the undivided union of church and state, and contends that the government should legally recognize the religion of the majority, and declare what ever goes counter to that to be contra bonos mores. He concentrates in himself the whole spirit of a "native Americanism" and a "popery," which displayed itself so brilliantly in the recent burning of the Catholic missions, seminaries, and churches in the city of Philadelphia.

We are persuaded that the Whig candidates modern federalism, political pugilism, a contempt for the religious bigotry. Their success would be fraught with the most serious danger to our political institutions, to social equality, and to religious freedom. All is hazardous. As matters now stand, all that is dear to our hearts is in jeopardy, and we are in the most alarming position. We of the republican party have committed many faults; we have on many occasions proved ourselves unworthy of the sacred cause entrusted to our keeping; yet the all-beneficent Providence has not wholly cast us off, but graciously given us one more opportunity to atone for past delinquencies, and to win new honors. The only cause of political, social, and religious freedom is now more committed to our hands. The sacred deposit is placed in our hands, and our hands will the Supreme Judge demand it. Every man of us must feel the sacredness of the trust, and remember "THE LORD'S SUPPER." There must be no cowardice, no failures, no tergiverses. A high and solemn duty rests on each one of us to renounce political profligacy, and religious bigotry and fanaticism; to do all that man in honor and honesty may do to save this country, this chosen land of Providence, to the freedom of the human race; to make it the home of virtue, an asylum to the oppressed, and a name and a praise in the whole earth.

HEAR MR. CLAY!

Mr. Clay, as chairman of the Senate committee on Foreign Affairs, made a Report on the 18th of June, 1844, of which the following is a part: "The recent signal and splendid victory in which that portion of the Mexican army which was commanded by General Santa Anna, the President of the United States, in person, was entirely overthrown with unexampled slaughter, compared with the considerable loss on our side, put to flight and captured, including among the prisoners the President himself and his staff, may be considered as decisive of the independence of Texas."

More Forgery.—The coin papers have circulated as "what the Globe thought of James K. Polk in 1839," the insertion that he was "wholly and totally an impostor" and the "forgery" of the Globe of July 24 pronounces it an "outrageous forgery."

Counterfeiters arrested at Dover, N. H.—On Saturday and Sunday last, four hundred and twenty names of Lyford, Elliot, and two Wileys, the latter brothers, were arrested in Dover for passing counterfeit bills on the Cumberland Bank, Portland, and the Suffolk Bank & Co., Boston.

Wine.—The term "Whig" is said to have been given to the liberal party in England by the royalists in Cromwell's time, the initials of its motto, which was "We hope in God"—W. H. I. G.—"Whig" paper.

A little more research would have enabled the fanciful author of the foregoing to discover a much more appropriate origin for the term. By reference to BRIAN'S Encyclopaedia it will be ascertained that "Whig" is a modification of "Whigmores," first applied in England to marauding parties of Scottish borderers, who lived by plundering the unprotected and unsuspecting farmers. Its adoption by the advocates of a Protective Tariff, Distribution, a National Bank, and a Bankrupt Law, is as appropriate as it is significant of their purposes and objects.

The last Jonesboro' (Tennessee) Whig, edited by a delegate to the Whig convention at Baltimore, contains the following editorial expression: "Our opinion is that there is to be no peace in this vast country, till the Mormons and Catholics are exterminated."—American.

An Ohio merchant advertises that he has an elegant assortment of goods for the ladies, besides a handsome unmarried clerk. Of course, the ladies will "call and examine before purchasing elsewhere."

WHAT A CONTRAST.

Agriculture wants little or no Protection.—Henry Clay.

"In my judgment it is the duty of the government to extend as far as it may be practicable to do so by its revenue laws and all other means within its power, fair and just protection to ALL the great interests of the whole union, embracing AGRICULTURE, manufactures, the mechanic arts, commerce, and navigation."—Jas. K. Polk.

Hon. B. F. Hallett, in his admirable speech on Bunker Hill on the 4th inst., stated that he was present in the House of Representatives of the United States, when Mr. Polk, as Speaker of the House, gave the casting vote in the case of the contested election between Gholson and Claiborne, and Prentiss and Ward; and that when Mr. Polk announced the result of the vote, Mr. Henry Clay, who was standing near Mr. Hallett, looking directly towards the speaker, said audibly: "GO HOME—D—N YOU. WHERE YOU BELONG." Mr. Hallett stated further, that he immediately spoke of this to Mr. Parmenter, who was also near by, and to two other members of Congress, one of whom was a representative from the State of New York, and that they all agreed in their understanding of what Mr. Clay had just said. Having made this distinct statement, Mr. Hallett turned towards Mr. Parmenter, who presided at the Bunker Hill meeting, and appealed to that gentleman to say whether his statement was in conformity to his recollection. Mr. Parmenter replied that it was strictly so.—Plymouth Rock.

U. S. SENATOR.

The duty of electing a U. S. Senator for six years will devolve on our next Legislature. The Whigs will make a desperate effort to carry the Legislature that this desirable situation may be given to a Whig. They consider it of much greater consequence than the gubernatorial office, and that a Whig may enjoy it they will leave no means untried and spare no exertions. They will therefore lay siege to all the doubtful Senatorial and Representative districts, and endeavor to divide and distract the democrats in our strong districts, for the purpose of defeating our candidates. As they will be prepared to take every possible advantage of all personal prejudices and local divisions, our friends are earnestly exhorted as they love the democratic cause, to remove all causes for disagreement beforehand, and to cultivate such a spirit that when the candidates are duly designated every Democrat will feel personally disposed as well as bound by established usage to support all the regular nominations made by the party. Now that the whole democracy of the Union are united upon our presidential candidates in concessions, it is a favorable time to harmonize all disagreements and eradicate prejudices, if any exist, in the different counties, towns and neighborhoods. To rise above an indulgence of sectional or personal prejudice, which is unworthy alike of our cause and of those who have espoused it requires only an effort of the will which the reason of every one teaches him should be made. Forgive and forget—every thing for the cause—and excellent motives for all to adopt, and if lived up to, the union and harmony of the democratic party will be rendered perfect, and the Whigs cannot then make any inroads upon us. Bangor Democrat.

HA, HA, HA! Jonathan Slick—every body knows Jonathan, or have read the productions of this slick quill, or "licker tell of him"—well, Jonathan Slick, of Slickville, Connecticut, the land of wooden clocks and wooden nutmegs, has lately been on a visit to the city of New York. He has published in the Express, we believe, a description of high life in that "great country." He recently attended a party given by his "cousin John," and he thus describes his cousin's "better half":

"and I looked at her pretty earnestly, I can tell you, and I do think she would have been a critter that John might be proud of, if it wasn't for that stuck up way she's got since she come down here to York. I never see the critter's back stuck up as her's was. I raly thought she was a getting the rickets, and I felt anxious about it, and I turned to cousin John after I went up to her, and sez I, a sort of low, 'cousin John, 'how did your wife hurt her back so? I declare it makes me feel awfully to see what a great lump, she's got and growing since she come away from Connecticut.' Whil that cousin John looked at her and larked a little, but I could see he didn't feel just right, and arter a minute he said, sez he, 'cousin, you must not speak so loud jist true, Mark has put you rather too much loose, but it's the fashion, you see.' I looked around, and as true as you live, there wasn't a gal in the room that haun't her back a sticking out the same way. Such a set of hump-backed critters I never did put my eyes on; and yet, they all stood about a smiling, and a talking to the fellows, as if nothing ailed them—poor things!"

WATERVILLE COLLEGE. The annual commencement of this institution takes place on the second Wednesday of August next. Rev. O. A. Brownson is to deliver the oration, and Isaac P. Shepherd the poem, before the literary societies. Both gentlemen are residents of Boston.

A Whig paper says Polk rhymes with poker, joke, crack, smoke, and such like words. And so it does, says the Bunker Gazette. And we'll poke the Coons with this name—won't laugh at the joke when they begin to crack; and when they shake we'll take their hides off and shake 'em.

The Legislature of Rhode Island has passed a general amnesty for all concerned in what they call the late insurrection, provided they will take oath to support the constitution.

READ THIS. Wright's Indian Vegetable Pills.

THE virtues of these Pills are now cheerfully and universally acknowledged by their great popularity and extensive circulation, and few who possess this article will be found unacquainted with some of its merits. I need not say that many will be ready to add the extensive use of their success, and many will be ready to add the extensive use of their success, and many will be ready to add the extensive use of their success.

WRIGHT'S INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS are designed to ASSIST NATURE in restoring the various organs to a healthy action, by CLEANSING the Stomach and bowels, and PURIFYING the whole System from those morbid and corrupt humors which in most cases are the cause of disease, and thus given to the patient health, vigor, and cheerfulness for discomposure.

The unsolicited success which has attended the use of these Pills has attracted much unprincipled persons to imitate them, and to sell spurious articles, which they endeavor to place on the market as the GENUINE MEDICINE, hence the importance of purchasing only the regular advertised Agents.

W. B. Apthorp, Thomas Crocker is the only regular authorized Agent for the sale of the above valuable medicine in this Village, and do not purchase elsewhere, if you would be sure of obtaining the GENUINE MEDICINE.—JAMES WRIGHT.

MARRIED.

In Brunswick, Mr. Abraham Jordan to Mrs. Mary S. Beale.

In Bangor, Mr. Ivory Estes to Miss Ann E. Kelley, both of Orono.

DIED.

In Bangor, Mr. Joseph Bartlett, aged 59.

In Ellsworth, Mrs. Sarah, wife of Alexander Black, aged about 22.

In Augusta, John T. Carter, a colored man, aged 42.

In Norway on Saturday, Mrs. Abigail Parsons, aged 83 years.

TREASURER'S NOTICE.—ANDOVER.

NOTICE is hereby given to the resident and non-resident proprietors and owners of land and other interests in the town of Andover, in the County of Oxford and State of Maine, that the same are taxed in the town of Andover, and a certified list of such persons unpaid for the years 1842 and 1843 has been returned to me by the Collector of said town for the purpose of advertising, viz:

Names.	Real Estate.	Tax due.
Edward A. Boyd,		\$2 50
Eliza Badell,		2 50
Abra. Badell,		2 50
Moses Cutting,		1 75
Simon W. Gregg,		2 75
Ephraim F. Goddard,		7 10
Benjamin Hall,		9 00
Mark Porter,		7 50

Non-Resident Owners, 1842.

No. of Land.	Value.	Tax due.
1	50	2 50
2	100	5 00
3	150	7 50
4	200	10 00
5	250	12 50
6	300	15 00
7	350	17 50
8	400	20 00
9	450	22 50
10	500	25 00

Non-Resident Owners, 1843.

No. of Land.	Value.	Tax due.
1	50	2 50
2	100	5 00
3	150	7 50
4	200	10 00
5	250	12 50
6	300	15 00
7	350	17 50
8	400	20 00
9	450	22 50
10	500	25 00

NOTICE OF FORECLOSURE.

WILLIAM DAVIS P. STOWELL, of Paris, in the County of Oxford, by his Deed of Mortgage dated the 10th day of February, A. D. 1843, conveyed to the said William D. P. Stowell, a certain tract or parcel of land situated in said Paris, to wit:—A building the homestead farm of Elias Stowell, Esq., late of Paris, aforesaid, deceased, together with the buildings thereon, which Deed of Mortgage is recorded with Oxford Records Book 65, page 645, and whereas the conditions aforesaid Mortgage have been broken, I give this public notice to foreclose the same, agreeably to the provisions of the Statute in such case made and provided.

RUFUS STOWELL.
Paris, July 29, 1844.

COMMISSIONER'S NOTICE.

THE undersigned having been appointed by the Judge of Probate for the County of Oxford, Commissioner to receive and examine the claims of the several creditors to the estate of

PETER HOLDEN.

late of Sweden, in said county of Oxford, deceased, which estate has been represented insolvent, hereby give notice that six months from the twenty-fifth day of June last past, have been allowed and creditors to present and prove their claims; and that the said estate for that purpose at the dwelling house of Benjamin B. Holden, in said Sweden, on the first Saturday of September next, to wit: Saturday in October next, and the first Saturday in December next, from ten o'clock in the forenoon till five o'clock in the afternoon on each of said days.

DAVID HAMMONS, } Commissioner.
BENJAMIN WEBBER, }
Lovell, July 22, 1844. 3w12

SHERIFF'S SALE.

STATE OF MAINE, Orono, ss:—
TAKEN in Execution and will be sold at public Auction on Tuesday the 27th day of August, A. D. 1844, at the Store of Benjamin Brownson in Sweden, at two o'clock P. M. for cash or day, all the right of equity of redemption which Nathaniel [Name] has in and to the redemption of a certain lot of land situated in Sweden, being part of Lot No. 55, in the second division of lots in Sweden, beginning at the Northwest corner of said Lot, thence running South 22 1/2 degrees East 55 rods to a stake and stone—thence North 24 1/2 degrees West to a stake and stone at the North line of said Lot—thence on said North line to the first mentioned corner, containing twenty acres more or less; it being the same that was mortgaged to John Patterson, for sixteen dollars, and is now due with interest.

JOHN F. POTTER, Deft. Clerk.
Sweden, July 27, 1844. 3w12

WILLIAM K. KIMBALL,

ATTORNEY AT LAW,

CANTY. ME. &c.

TIMOTHY LUDLOW.

RECEIVED FOR THE STATE OF MAINE
JULY 29, 1844.

BY ALFRED CROWQUILL,

str. uncommon in the country they had long practised what they preached. In the exercise of this moral principle they had both become deeply indebted, and, with that fervent generosity known only to the heart of youth, they longed to liquidate their liabilities. There was

and revengeful, Veronica's passion of love was soon transformed to hatred the most intense.

was || **CUMBERLAND, & OXFORD**
|| **POLAND, ME.**

INDIAN VEGETABLE PILLS
The true character of Counterfeiters and seller
of counterfeit Medicines.

Journal of Management Inquiry, Vol. 17 No. 4, December 2008
DOI: 10.1177/1056492608320000
© The Author(s) 2008

Will be paid to any Physician who will produce
a better Compound for Family use

CELEBRATED FAMILY MEDICINE.
CONSISTING OF
Vegetable Bileous Bitters.

**COLLECTOR'S DEEDS,
FOR SALE AT THIS OFFICE.**

—0000—

Horse Shoeing & Farriering.
THE subscriber would inform the inhabitants

C. W. WALTON,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DIXFIELD-VILLAGE, MAINE.

WOOLEN FACTORY.
THE SOUTH PARIS MANUFACTURING CO.

Thankful to the public for the liberal share of patronage heretofore received, they hope, by their improvement in manufacturing and by despatch of business, a continuance of their favors.

ISAAC HARLOW, Agent,
South Paris, May, 1841.

C. W. WALTON,

C. W. WALTON,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DIXFIELD-VILLAGE, MAINE.

DIXFIELD-VILLAGE, MAINE.

BY virtue of a License from the Judge of Probate for the county of Oxford, I shall sell at public Auction on Saturday the twenty-fourth day of August next, at 10 o'clock A. M. at the Court House in Paris

SAMUEL F. MARBLE,
DEPUTY SHERIFF,
FOR THE COUNTIES OF

DEPUTY SHERIFF,
FOR THE COUNTIES OF

CUMBERLAND, & OXFORD
POLAND, ME.

Journal of Management Education 30(6)

FILLING, Separating, Cleaning and Setting Artificial Mineral Pivot Teeth, done by
T. H. BROWN, Paris-Hill
Filling with Gold from 50 cts to \$1.00

the clock in the forenoon, all the real estate belong-
ing to HARVEY WAIT, late of Mexico, in the County
of Oxford, deceased, being the homestead farm of said
deceased, excepting the Widow's thirds. Also the re-
version of the Widow's dower in the premises.

LUCY W. WAIT, Administratrix

Mexico, July 17th, 1841. 11

The subscriber hereby gives public notice to all concern-
ing that he has been duly appointed and taken upon himself
the duty of administering the estate of the above named
deceased.

late of Oxford, in the County of Oxford, deceased, by his
bond as the law directs.—He therefore requests all persons
are indebted to the said deceased's estate, to make immed-
iate payment: and those who have any demands thereon, to ex-

payment; and those who have any demands thereon, to pay
 the same to
BARTLETT HOLMES
 Oxford, June 25, 1844. **"11**

SAMUEL F. MARBLE,
 DEPUTY SHERIFF,
 FOR THE COUNTIES OF
 CHESHIRE AND OXFORD.

Figure 1. The effect of the concentration of the *Agrobacterium* suspension on the transformation efficiency of *Agrobacterium* strains.

Horse Shoeing & Farriering.
THE subscriber would inform the inhabitants
Paris and the adjoining towns, that he has tak-

Colored do	25	cts. per
Colored and pressed,	25	cts. per
Cloth Dressing,	8 to 17	cts per

A good assortment of the above named cloths will be kept at the Factory, and customers can be supplied with cloths on the delivery of their Wool.

All Wool should be well washed.

If any work goes out of their hands unfaithfully done they hold themselves accountable for the damage.

ment in manufacturing and by despatch of business,
a continuance of their labors.

ISAAC HARLOW, Agent
South Paris, May, 1844. 1842

C. W. WALTON,
ATTORNEY AT LAW
DIXFIELD-VILLAGE, MAINE.

[illegible]

AND NO MISTAKE.

THE subscriber informs all who are in want of T
 loring, that they can have work done at his S

N. B. All garments warranted to fit. Cutting done at short notice. Gentlemen please give me a call before you go further.

WM. HEATH, Tailor
South Paris, May 16, 1844. (13)

ASA BARTON,
Attorney at Law,
NORWICH, OXFORD COUNTY, ME.

Attorney at Law,
NORWAY, OXEORD COUNTY, ME

THE patronage of his friends and the public is respectfully solicited, and personal and punctual attention given to all business entrusted to his care.

June 19. *Bw

SAMUEL F. RAWSON,
Deputy Sheriff,
PARIS HILL, OXFORD COUNTY.

for
l.

SAMUEL T. NEWSON,
Deputy Sheriff,
PARIS HILL, OXFORD COUNTY.

All business by Mail, or otherwise, prompt-
 ly attended to
 Feb 14. 1842. 41
COLLECTOR'S DEEDS,
FOR SALE AT THIS OFFICE.

FOR CREDIT OF THIS OFFICE:

[Illegible handwritten notes]